



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/873,222	06/04/2001	David Jeffrey Miller	10010869-1	4537	
7590 11/16/2004			EXAMINER		
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			TUCKER, WESLEY J		
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2623		

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/873,222	MILLER ET AL.	
·	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Wes Tucker	2623	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 17 September 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a vinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the contract of the con	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in	
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the europe of the expiration date of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the expiration date of the expiration date of the expiration of the expiration date of the expiration date of the expiration of the expiration date of the expiration da	of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or	
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the	
(d) they present additional claims without cancelling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment	
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consi e Continuation Sheet	dered but does NOT place the	
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-16.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ appr	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	
0. Other:			
	·	Jon Chang Jon Chang Primary Examiner	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the 103 combinaton rejection of claims 1 and 7 and newly amended claims 4 and 12 does not disclose the feature of "means for transmitting the digital image packet to a remote digital image editing system selected according to the customer preference parameter" in claims 1, 4, 7, and 12. Applicant did not argue the rejection of this feature in the final rejection of claims 1 and 7 and the arguments and amendments now presented are not persuasive. U.S. Patent 6,157,435 to Slater at al. discloses "means for transmitting the digital image packet to a remote digital image editing system selected according to the customer preference parameter." (column 10, lines 38-48). Here Slater discloses how the IDM performs enhancements according to the user's preference. The IDM is said to contain a number of general purpose microprocessors operating in parallel (column 9, lines 45-50). The IDM is considered to be a digital image editing system that operates according to the customer preference parameters.